JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER REMANDING ACTION TO STATE COURT

Document 9

Filed 01/10/2008

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-02235-BTM-BLM

VENABLE LLP

4

11

12 13

2049 CENTURY PARK EAST, #2100 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067 (310) 229-9900 14 15 16

VENABLE LLP

17

18

19

21

22

20

23 24

25

26 27

28

Case No. 37-2007-00057263-CU-BT-NC (hereafter, the "Action"). The Action is a putative class action relating to the advertising and sale of "Auto Cool" products.

- On or about November 26, 2007, Defendant Allstar Marketing Group, LLC removed the Action to this Court. In its Notice of Removal of Action, Allstar Marketing Group, LLC asserted, among other things, that this Court has original jurisdiction over the Action pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d) ("CAFA"), because this matter is a putative class action in which the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000, exclusive of costs and interest, and is between citizens of different states. Allstar Marketing Group, LLC included evidence with its Notice of Removal of Action that it asserts demonstrates that revenues from nationwide sales of Auto Cool were well in excess of \$5,000,000, restitution of which is being sought by Plaintiffs in the Action.
- On or about December 3, 2007, all of the named Defendants (collectively, 3. "Allstar") filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' claims to the extent that they are being asserted on behalf of putative class members who are not residents of California.
- 4. On or about December 18, 2007, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand the Action to state court. In the Motion to Remand, Plaintiffs asserted, among other things, that they are not seeking to recover damages in excess of \$5,000,000, that the "putative Plaintiff Class is limited to aggrieved purchasers of Auto Cool in California," and that they did not intend "the Complaint to encompass all U.S. citizens or seek restitution of all monies paid therefrom."
- 5. For the sake of judicial efficiency, the parties are now jointly requesting the Court to remand the Action to state court, and to take off calendar the scheduled January 25, 2008 hearing on Allstar's Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand.

1

6

7

10

14

VENABLE LLP

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

WHEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Based on certain representations by Defendant, Plaintiffs seek to recover less than \$5,000,000 in this Action, exclusive of costs and interests. Any amount awarded in excess of \$5,000,000 (if any), will be remitted by Plaintiffs.
- 2. Plaintiffs will not seek to have any class certified in this Action that includes nonresidents of California.
 - 3. The Action may be remanded to state court.
- 4. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiffs reserve the right to file an amended complaint in the Action on or before May 1, 2008. Allstar will not oppose the filing of such an amended complaint (if any), but reserves the right to respond to it in any appropriate fashion. If such an amended complaint is filed, then stipulations 1, 2, and 3 above will become null and void, and Allstar shall have the right to remove the Action to Federal Court based on such amended complaint.

Based on the foregoing, the parties jointly request that the concurrently-submitted [Proposed] Order be entered by the Court.

Dated: January / 0, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF ALEXANDER M.

SCHACK

By

Alexander M. Schack Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: January 10, 2008

VENABLE LLP

nevs for Defendants

VENABLE LLP